

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/607,885	TITUS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Srirama Channavajjala	2166	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Srirama Channavajjala.

(3) _____

(2) LeRoy D. Maunu Regd.No. 35,274.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 27 October 2006

Time: 11 AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-21

Prior art documents discussed:

US Patent No. 6549901; US Pub.No. 2004/0243535; US Patent No. 6751617; 6549901

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

A telephone was made to applicant's representative Attorney LeRoy D. Maunu Regd.No. 35,274 about the potential amendment to the claims 1,7,14,15,16 and cancelling claims: 2,4,8-11,17,19, in order to allow the case. The representative agreed with the Examiner's proposal and authorization has given for an Examiner's Amendment.